

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

BOBBI THORNTON,

Defendant and Appellant.

D038352

(Super. Ct. No. SCD156172)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of San Diego County, Charles C. Rogers, Robert F. O'Neill, Judith F. Hayes and Charles Jones, Judges. Affirmed.

After the court denied a *Marsden* motion (*People v. Marsden* (1970) 2 Cal.3d 118), a peremptory challenge of the court (Code of Civil Proc., § 170.1), a motion to recuse the deputy district attorney trying the case, and a motion to dismiss (Pen. Code, § 995),¹ Bobbi Thornton entered a negotiated guilty plea (*People v. West* (1970) 3 Cal.3d

¹ All further statutory references are to the Penal Code.

595) to offering a forged instrument to a public officer. (§ 115, subd. (a).) The court suspended imposition of sentence and placed her on three years' probation. The court denied a request for a certificate of probable cause.²

DISCUSSION

Appointed appellate counsel has filed a brief setting forth the evidence in the superior court. Counsel presents no argument for reversal but asks this court to review the record for error as mandated by *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436. Pursuant to *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738 counsel refers to as possible but not arguable issues: (1) whether the trial court erred in denying the *Marsden* motions; (2) whether Thornton's guilty plea was free and voluntary; and (3) whether the trial court erred in failing to enforce an alleged order disqualifying the district attorney's office.

We granted Thornton permission to file a brief on her own behalf. She has not responded. A review of the entire record pursuant to *People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436, including the possible issues referred to pursuant to *Anders v. California, supra*, 386 U.S. 738, has disclosed no reasonably arguable appellate issue. Competent counsel has represented Thornton on this appeal.

DISPOSITION

Judgment affirmed.

² Because Thornton entered a guilty plea, she cannot challenge the facts underlying the conviction. (§ 1237.5; *People v. Martin* (1973) 9 Cal.3d 687, 693.) We need not recite the facts.

KREMER, P. J.

WE CONCUR:

BENKE, J.

McDONALD, J.